

Nov. 10th }
+ 20th } Jan. 1857

Rothwell

Rev. J. H. Hill, D.D.

Μεταφρασις
επιγραφης Ναζου.

Athens.

1856.

Greece

offered to pay. But there is now another great drawback to the use of Enery, about two & a half years ago I was at the
plate glass works, and they had purchased for England, France, Belgium & Germany, the same ware
for sale for publishing plate glass without Enery stones, they were publishing without Enery when I was at
the works, a very simple process, being 2 motions instead of over the Managers told me that I intended
to sell the right of publishing in a singular manner to all the plate glass works, and the saying was
be very great, this is a mistake for Enery stones, as the glass works do not use at all of the stones, for iron
works they can only afford to pay very little, so there are so many hard substances that will hold
iron, I used stone to the "Daisy News", mentions that Mr. Stuart of Solomons has been approv-
ed toward at Enery, but I scarcely think I could have done so, after the letter that appa-
red in the "Standard" on July, 1855 about Enery, no official notification has however appeared with
"Hagley's" yet. You must excuse this small scribble of Popham. Some of the best Enery have divisions
of making a contract with me, they must be liberal as I do, not wish to enter into any Enery -
must I be contented with it as has been my custom, and they may rely on my endeavors to get
the article into great circulation, but they can only be done, by getting at a reasonable
price, that of many in the same way to make use of it, the printing of Enery into Enery
which must be done in all cases for all purposes is by Enery - the more Enery
sets, the more Enery of course to be from the Enery. Please see what you can do with your
friends in the Ministry for me. With our remembrance kind regards to Mr. Hill and all
and wishing you both the complete success of the season, with the hope of soon hearing
from you,
Dear Sir,
Yours very truly
Wm. W. W.

1855
Dear Sir,
I have the pleasure in acknowledging the
very kind letter of the 20th inst. and am glad to hear that you are
very much interested in the Enery
Wm. W. W.

To Mr. Charles W. Lancaster 26th Decr 1856.

My Dear Bro. Sir,

Since my last communication of the 15th Ult^o, in answer to yours of the 16th Aug^r 4th none of your esteemed communications have reached me, though I have been anxiously expecting to hear from you for some months - I understand Abbott that is Calvert of the Standard has not been enabled to fulfill his contract with the Greek Gov^t for Emery Stones (and no wonder) I hear he has not made any payments since 1853, that his security living at Athens claims 200,000 Dr^s from him, he agreed to give this piece of Dr 100,000 paid during the 10 yrs contract for being his security, they write me he owes his London Agent £45000:0:0 for money advanced on % of Emery Stones, besides other claims, and that consequently, he cannot longer continue the contract, which according to the terms of same, is null & void - In one of your letters you mention your friends were in the Ministry, and give would do something for me if I wished: What I wish is, that as the contract is broken, I would have no objection to enter into a contract with the Greek Gov^t for 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 or 10 yrs, to take from 20,000 to 40,000 quintals annually at my option, if the Gov^t will let me have the stone at a reasonable price, that would induce me to take the risk and trouble, for at present the sel. of the article is ruined, and it would take a world of trouble & some years to bring it round again, the only chance would be to sell at a low price here, to induce consignment, and Abbott has from 60,000 to 80,000 quintals for sale in England now, he has the Turkey stones for which he pays 6 piers per ton but I have agreed about 1 1/4 p^{er} ton, and they sell for 30 p^{er} ton less only than Naples. The Greek Gov^t ought to remember that it was through me that Emery stones obtained a value, for the contract made by them previous to my offer never exceeded 100000 Dr to the Gov^t and for years after making my contract, had to sell for less than cash, the previous contract having a large supply to dispose of - they ought also to take into consideration to exact a level & great regularity & fulfilled the contract, and offered to continue to do so for 10 yrs longer, which if they had accepted, would have had a large amount paid them, instead of making a bad contract, at a price no one would